# A Hybrid Control of a P300-Based BCI: a Solution to Improve System Usability?

# A. Riccio<sup>1,2</sup>, E. Holz<sup>3</sup>, P. Aricò<sup>1,4</sup>, F. Leotta<sup>6</sup>, F. Aloise<sup>1</sup>, L. Desideri<sup>5</sup>, M. Rimondini<sup>5</sup>, A. Kübler<sup>3</sup>, D. Mattia<sup>1</sup>, F. Cincotti<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fond Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Dep of Psychology, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Psychology I, University of Würzburg, Germany; <sup>4</sup>DIAG, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Ausilioteca, AIAS Bologna onlus, Italy; <sup>6</sup> Sapienza University of Rome

Correspondence: Angela Riccio, IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy. E-mail: a.riccio@hsantalucia.it

*Abstract.* A P300 based BCI system was designed to control an assistive technology software (Riccio et al., 2011). Subsequently a hybrid (electromyographic, EMG) control devoted to the correction of spelling errors was introduced in it. The *hybrid* version of such system would provide severly disabled end-users with a way to exploit not otherwise functionally reliable residual muscular activity. Eight healthy subjects and two severly motor impaired end-users participated to the system testing. Preliminary findings are in favour of the superiority in *efficiency* of the *hybrid* control with respect to the *no-hybrid* (only BCI-based) as indicated by the observed improvement of the performance (expressed as time for selction and percent of errors) that was associated with a decrease of the system usage frustration perceived by the users.

Keywords: Brain computer interface, Hybrid, Electromyography, Event related potential, Communication

### 1. Introduction

A hybrid Brain Computer Interface (BCI) is a BCI combined with at least one other system or device enabling people to send information [Allison et al., 2010]. In a previous study, we reported on a developed system in which a P300-based BCI was combined with a QualiWorld Assistive Technology (QW) software for communication and environmental control [Riccio et al., 2011]. Such BCI-based system was successfully tested with severely disabled potential end-users [Zickler et al., 2011] and according to their feedbacks on system's usability, we endowed the system with a *hybrid* control that subserved the function of deleting uncorrected selections by means of electromyographic (EMG) signal generated by the end-user's residual muscular activity.

#### 2. Material and Methods

Eight healthy volunteers (4 males, 4 females; mean age 30) and two severely disabled end-user (female, 48 year old; male 56 year old) participated to the study. The end-users had severe motor disability due to brainstem ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke.

To fully adhere to a user-centered design, the *hybrid* system is adaptable to several degrees of residual motor activity and the customization of the EMG control channel is obtained during a screening session wherein the endusers' target muscle is identified on the basis of their residual functional voluntary movements. For the same reason the visual stimuli eliciting P300 are adaptable to user's needs in terms of shape, colors, dimension and position. The visual stimulation is overlaid on top of the QW window through a proxy.

A calibration session was performed in order to define the EMG control features, such as the onset and offset of signal amplitude thresholds and the optimal time window for the EMG signal onset and offset to occur in order to operate the delete command. The same session was also devoted to identify the best stimulation modality (least number of sequences needed to achieve the 100% offline accuracy) within four stimuli changing for shapes (dot vs. grid) and colors (red vs. green). In a different session, participants were asked to spell online three predefined words (21 characters) using the system under two conditions: *(i) No-hybrid task*: uncorrected letter selections were deleted by means of the BCI control operating a backspace command integrated in the QW virtual keyboard; *(ii) Hybrid task*: the errors were canceled by exploiting the EMG control signal; in case of failure, the user had to delete the wrong letter as in the previous condition. For between conditions comparative purposes, the number of sequences of stimulation was set at the minimum number of sequences needed by a given user to reach 80% of accuracy in order to artificially introduce spelling errors in a controlled manner. EEG and EMG signals were acquired using 8 EEG (Fz, Cz, Pz, Oz, P3, P4, Po7, Po8) and 2 EMG active electrodes, respectively. All EEG channels were referenced to

the right earlobe and grounded to the left mastoid, amplified using a g.tec USB amplifier (Graz, Austria) and recorded by the BCI2000 software.

Healthy volunteers data set: the comparison in terms of *efficiency* between the two modalities was performed by means of a non-parametric Wilcoxon test. The system *efficiency* was evaluated in terms of *i*) time for selection (*TIME*; ratio between the total time to successfully complete the task and the minimum number of selections needed to execute it), *ii*) percent of errors (*ERRORS*; ratio between the number of BCI errors and the total number of BCI selections) and *iii*) users *FRUSTRATION* (the NASA-tlx workload factor; "*How insecure, discouraged, irritated, stressed, and annoyed were you*?"). Only qualitative description will be reported for the 2 end-user data set.

### **3. Results**

Significantly lower scores relative to *TIME* and *ERRORS* were obtained in the *hybrid task* with respect to the *no-hybrid task* (p < 0.05, Fig. 1a, 1b). Further, the level of *FRUSTRATION* perceived by the healthy users resulted significantly lower for the *hybrid* condition (p < 0.05). The end-users achieved *TIME* and *ERRORS* mean values lower in the *hybrid task* (*TIME*=19.13 sec; 39,38 sec. *ERRORS*=19.3%, 3,3%) as compared to the *no hybrid task* (*TIME*=34.8; 45,31 sec. *ERRORS*=33.9%, 6,6 %). The perceived *FRUSTRATION* was also lower while using the *hybrid* modality function (3.3; 0,6) with respect to the *no-hybrid* (4.6; 1,6).



*Figure 1.* Plots showing statistic comparison between the "hybrid task" and the "no-hybrid" task in healby volunteers (n=8). Variables are: (a) time of selection (TIME), (b) percentage of errors (ERRORS) and (c) perceived frustation (FRUSTRATION)

## 4. Discussion

The findings obtained from healthy subjects support the initial assumption that the integration of the EMG channel into the system would yield to an improvement of the system *efficiency*, as indicated by the significant decrease of the *time for selection* and of the *percentage of errors* in an on line spelling task performed under the *hybrid* and *no-hybrid* task modality. One can speculate that the observed decrease of the *percentage of errors* under the *hybrid* task might be ascribed to a reduced psychological demand of the BCI-based spelling letters due to the possibility of correcting errors by exploiting the EMG channels. The lower level of perceived *frustration* associated with the *hybrid* task could be a consequence of the performance enhancement. The similarity in the system usage performance showed by the 2 end-users corroborates the added value of the hybrid control concept. A larger sample of end users is currently involved in the study.

#### Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the EU FP7-224631 "TOBI" (Tools for Brain-Computer Interaction) project.

#### References

Allison BZ, Leeb R, Brunner C, Müller-Putz GR, Bauernfeind G, Kelly JW, et al. Toward smarter BCIs: extending BCIs through hybridization and intelligent control. *J Neural Eng*, 9(1):013001, 2012.

Riccio A, Leotta F, Bianchi L, Aloise F, Zickler C, Hoogerwerf EJ, et al. Workload measurement in a communication application operated through a P300-based BCL J Neural Eng, 8(2):025028, 2011.

Zickler C, Riccio A, Leotta F, et al. A brain-computer interface as input channel for a standard assistive technology software. *Clin EEG Neurosci*, 42(4):222-230, 2011.